

### Use of a ISO 13528 template for quantitative schemes : the issues

#### **Annette Thomas**



#### ISO 17043 and homogeneity and Stability

ISO 17043 requires that an EQA provider demonstrates % ufficient homogeneity and stability+of the material using valid statistical methods. The Standard refers the reader to ISO 13528 - Statistical methods for use in proficiency testing by interlaboratory comparisons and the IUPAC International Harmonized Protocol. The procedure described in ISO 13528 Annex B is most suited for large quantitative schemes with little guidance provided for Schemes where there are insufficient number of samples for statistical validity, or where split sample analysis is inappropriate. There is little or no guidance as to the design of suitable homogeneity and stability testing protocols for qualitative analytes.



#### Issue 1. wide method CV

The standard statistical approach for homogeneity and stability includes calculation of the general average, the within sample and between sample standard deviation. The samples are considered to be adequately homogeneous if the between sample sd is m0.3\* Standard deviation for proficiency assessment (SDPT)

 $x_t = (x_{t1} + x_{t2})/2$ 

*t* represents the sample (t = 1, 2, ..., g) *k* represents the test portion (k = 1, 2)

and the between-test-portion ranges as:  $w_t = |x_{t,1} + x_{t,2}|$ 

Calculate the general average:  $\bar{x}_{t_{x}} = \sum \bar{x}_{t}/g$ 

the standard deviation of sample averages:

and the within-samples standard deviation:

Calculate sample averages as:

 $s_w = \sqrt{\sum w_t^2 / (2g)}$ 

 $s_x = \sqrt{\sum (x_{t_r} - x_{t_r})^2 / (g - 1)}$ 

Calculate the between-samples standard deviation as:

 $s_{\rm s} = \sqrt{s_x^2 - \left(s_{\rm w}^2/2\right)}$ 

Homogeneous if  $s_s \leq 0.3 \hat{\sigma}$ 



#### Issue 1. wide method CVdard deviation = $s_s = \sqrt{s_x^2 - (s_w^2/2)}$

between-samples standard deviation =

If SD<sub>PT</sub>= 0.5 then SD <sub>allow</sub> = 0.3x SD<sub>PT</sub> = 0.15 and If S <sub>x</sub> = 0.5 and S<sub>w</sub> = 0.8

Then  $S_s = \frac{1}{4}(0.5^2 - 0.8^2/2)$ =  $\frac{1}{4}(0.25 \cdot 0.32)$ =  $\frac{1}{2} - 0.07$ 

If  $S_w > S_x$  look at analytical variation of method

If  $S_s$  is negative cand use standard equation use alternative  $SD_{allow}$  which takes into account within sample SD.



# Issue 1. wide method

Calculate SD allow .  $\sigma_{allow}^2 = (0, 3\hat{\sigma})^2$ 

Calculate

 $c = F_1 \sigma_{allow}^2 + F_2 s_w^2$ 

F1 and F2 are from standard statistical tables,

If  $ss > \frac{1}{2}$  then there is evidence that the batch of material is not sufficiently homogeneous

Table B.1 — Factors Frand F2 for use in testing for sufficient homogeneity

m	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7
F1	1.59	1.60	1.62	1.64	1.67	1.69	1.72	1.75	1.79	1.83	1.88	1.94	2.01	2.10
F2	0,57	0.59	0.62	0.64	0.68	0.71	0.75	0.80	0.86	0.93	1.01	1.11	1.25	1.43

For 10 samples, F1 = 1.88 and F2 = 1.01

 $c = 1.88 \times SD^2_{allow} + 1.01 \times (within sample SD)^2$ 

 $c = 1.88 \times 0.15^2 + 1.01 \times 0.8^2$ 

c = 0.042 + 0.65 = 0.69

#### If Within sample SD > Sample Av SD



	Analyte:	Glucose		Analyte:	Glucose		Analyte:	Glucose	
atch no: (please enter)	Sample			Sample			Sample		
	Result a	Result b	Sample Ave	Result a	Result b	Sample Ave	Result a	Result b	Sample Ave
Sample 1	2.9	2.3	2.6	2.9	2.3	2.6			
Sample 2	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4
Sample 3	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5
Sample 4	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6
Sample 5	2.7	2.8	2.75	2.7	2.8	2.75	2.7	2.8	2.75
Sample 6	2.8	2.9	2.85	2.8	2.9	2.85	2.8	2.9	2.85
Sample 7	2.9	3	2.95	2.9	3	2.95	2.9	3	2.95
Sample 8	2.2	2.9	2.55	2.2	2.9	2.55			
Sample 9	2.6	2.9	2.75	2.6	2.9	2.75	2.6	2.9	2.75
Sample 10	2.2	3	2.6	2.2	3	2.6			
General Average	2.655			2.655			2.686		
Sum d <sup>2</sup>	1.61			1.61			0.12		
Within Sample SD (SD <sub>diff</sub> )	0.28372522			0.283725219			0.09258201		
SD of sample ave	0.167			0.167			0.195		
Between sample SD	#NUM!			0.167414987	,		0.183873663		
nter WEQAS SD for this level (calculated									
rom precision profile & general average	0 183			0 183			0 183		
0 3*WEQAS SD	0.0549			0.0549	·		0.0549		
SD <sup>2</sup> allow	0.00301401			0.00301401			0.00301401		
C allow	0.29490903							1	
<u> </u>									
				1	I		1		
DATE SAMPLES SENT TO ANALYSER:		Between sample SD (1) must be < 0.3*WEQAS SD (2) or ¿c (3)							
DATE OF ANALYSIS			$SD^{2}_{allow} = (0)$	.3 *WEQAS S	SD) <sup>2</sup>		$c = 1.88 * SD^2$	<sub>allow</sub> + 1.01*(wi	thin sample SD) <sup>2</sup>



## Issue 2-Where the SD<sub>PT</sub> is small

Impossible to achieve 0.3 x SD

- Tight performance criteria based on Clinical allowable limits.
- Challenging samples near the analytical limits of the assay. In these cases the criterion should be expanded to allow for the sampling errors.



### Issue 3. Random v Systematic

- ISO Guide 34 systematic sampling in a continuous process may be a better way to detect inhomogeneity rather than random sampling.
- Evaluation of the data should include investigation of a trend (or drift) in analysis of the homogeneity measurements, or a trend during the dispensing or production processes.

		Random sampling Systematic					
	approach sampling a						
EQALV()		Analyte:	Glucose		Analyte:	Glucose	
European Organization For External Quality Assurance V Providen in Laboratory Medicine	ch no: (please enter)	Sar	nple		San	nple	
		Result a	Result b	Sample Ave	Result a	Result b	Sample Ave
Analysis	Sample 1	2.5	2.6	2.55	2.3	2.3	2.3
sequence of	Sample 2	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4
all samples	Sample 3	2.9	3	2.95	2.5	2.6	2.55
should be	Sample 4	2.8	2.7	2.75	2.7	2.6	2.65
random for	Sample 5	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.7	2.75
hath	Sample 6	3	2.9	2.95	2.8	2.8	2.8
	Sample 7	2.9	3	2.95	2.9	3	2.95
approaches	Sample 8	2.7	2.6	2.65	2.9	2.9	2.9
to minimise	Sample 9	2.9	2.9	2.9	3	2.9	2.95
affects of	Sample 10	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.9	3	2.95
analyser drift	General Average	2.720			2.720		
	Sum d <sup>2</sup>	0.06			0.06		
	Within Sample SD (SD <sub>diff</sub> )	0.05477226			0.054772256		
	SD of sample ave	0.238			0.238		
<mark>1  </mark>	Between sample SD	0.23511227			0.235112266		
	antar SD for this loval	0.27			0.27		
2		0.27			0.27		
2	SD <sup>2</sup>	0.001			0.00561		
2		0 12205425			0.000001		
<mark>3</mark>		0.12333433					
	DATE SAMPLES SENT TO ANALYSER	NALYSER: Between sample SD (1) must be < 0.3*WEQA					
				$SD^2 = (0)$	3 *WEOAS S	D) <sup>2</sup>	
						U)	I
	DATE OF ANALTOID						





Trend Analysis - Random sampling



# Issue 4. Small sample nos.

- "Use fewer points in ISO 13528 template and use range instead of SD
  - . If n = 2,3 then  $(x_{high} \cdot x_{low}) = ss$
  - . If n =4,5,6 then  $(x_{high} \cdot x_{low})/1.5 = ss$
  - . If n =7,8,9 then  $(x_{high}-x_{low})/2 = ss$
- <sup>%</sup> Alternative approach is to assess the observed SD<sub>PT</sub> against the predicted Standard deviation calculated from the Precision profile derived from previous rounds.



#### Use 5 instead of 10 pairs

	Analyte:	Glucose		Analyte:	Glucose		
Batch no: (please enter)	Sar	nple		Sample			
	Result a	Result b	Sample Ave	Result a	Result b	Sample Ave	
Sample 1	2.5	2.6	2.55				
Sample 2	2.4	2.4	2.4				
Sample 3	2.9	3	2.95				
Sample 4	2.8	2.7	2.75				
Sample 5	2.8	2.8	2.8				
Sample 6							
Sample 7							
Sample 8							
Sample 9							
Sample 10							
General Average	2.690						
Sum d <sup>2</sup>	0.03						
Within Sample SD (SD <sub>diff</sub> )	0.05477226						
SD of sample ave	0.216						
1 Between sample SD	0.21272047						
enter WEQAS SD for this level (calculated from precision profile & general average or POCT criteria (in%))	0.183						
2 0.3*WEQAS SD	0.0549				_		
SD <sup>2</sup> <sub>allow</sub>	0.00301401						
3 ¿C	0.09325416				_		
DATE SAMPLES SENT TO ANALYSER:			Between san	nple SD (1) r	nust be < 0.3	3*WEQAS SD (2) or	
DATE OF ANALYSIS			$SD_{allow}^2 = (0.3 *WEQAS SD)^2$				



### Compare against previous SD

**Precision Profile Glucose** 





#### Assessment criterion for qualitative Schemes

- Not covered in ISO 13528
- Split sampling procedure could be followed as for Quantitative analytes. The samples could be considered homogeneous if all samples (100% responses) tested gave the same result.
- If this is not the case compare the variability of results from the within vial results and the between vial results.
  - . i.e. calculate false negatives/ true positive % for within vial
  - . calculate false negatives / true positive % for between vials
  - . false negatives/ true positive % for between vials mfalse positive / true positive % for within vial.



### Conclusion

Homogeneity and stability testing requires a more pragmatic approach than the procedure described in ISO 13528:2005